

AI Governance Advisory

Service & Procurement Summary

WHAT NJ4AI DELIVERS

Obligation Register	29 GCC frameworks, 6 countries, mapped at obligation level with evidence requirements
Dual-Signed Assessments	AI-classified + operator-countersigned within 72 hrs, ISO/IEC 17025-style templates
Policy + Reviewer Binding	6 policy types, named reviewer per policy, signed PDF evidence pack, ISO 42001 Annex A mapping
Governance Ledger	Content-hashed evidence, append-only audit trail, external-auditor role from day one
Cited-Corpus Chat	Confidence tiers (High/Medium/Escalate), 30-min human escalation SLA, audit-evidence export
MCP + Licensed Workflow	Write-action approval routing, reviewer binding per action, audit trail per MCP action
Readiness Sprint (2+1 wk)	Complete governance foundation in 2 weeks + Week 3 Evidence Walk (Professional)

WHY NJ4AI IS DIFFERENT

- › Licensed dual-activity entity (MC 14283) — not advisory-coded
- › Named senior operator on every contract — not a support queue
- › ISO/IEC 17025 measurement spine — assessments are measurements, not opinions
- › 29 GCC frameworks at obligation level — not summary coverage
- › Content-hashed governance ledger — immutable audit trail from day one
- › Bilingual AR/EN parity — every artefact, not translated after the fact
- › 30-minute audit-response SLA — contracted and measured monthly

PRICING

Foundation	\$3,000/year
Obligation register, cited-corpus chat, basic MCP, quarterly digest, unlimited users	
Professional	\$15,000/year
Everything in Foundation + dual-signed assessments, policy binding, governance ledger, named operator, 30-min SLA, bilingual AR/EN	
Readiness Sprint	\$5,000 one-time
2-week governance buildout + Week 3 Evidence Walk (bundled free with Professional)	

Price parity commitment: matches market rates for equivalent scope.

Typical engagement start: within 5 business days.

RISK REDUCTION FACTORS

Licensed Entity MC 14283 — legal accountability, not platform terms of service	Named Operator Contracted per engagement — accountable by name	Audit-Response SLA 30 minutes — contracted, measured monthly	Evidence Integrity Content-hashed, append-only governance ledger
--	--	--	--

WHEN TO CHOOSE NJ4AI

- › A regulator, prime contractor, or board will ask who signed your AI governance artefacts
- › Your evidence must survive an audit you did not plan for
- › You operate in the GCC and need obligation-level regulatory mapping across multiple jurisdictions
- › You need a named operator accountable for every output — not a platform with a support ticket queue
- › Bilingual Arabic/English delivery is a requirement, not a feature request

AI System Governance Assessment

Dual-Signed Cover Sheet

This is the first page an auditor sees.

Assessment Reference	NJ4AI-ASM-2026- REDACTED
Date of Issue	REDACTED April 2026
Scope	AI-Powered REDACTED
Organisation	REDACTED
Jurisdiction(s)	UAE (Federal, ADGM), KSA (SDAIA, NCA)
Frameworks Applied	ISO 42001, UAE AI Ethics, SDAIA AI Governance, ADGM AI Framework
Classification	Confidential — Client + Auditor Access Only

ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

This assessment evaluates the subject organisation's AI system governance posture against applicable GCC regulatory frameworks. The assessment follows the NJ4AI P.R.O.O.F. methodology (Principles, Risk, Operations, Oversight, Feasibility) and is conducted under the measurement discipline of ISO/IEC 17025, with uncertainty declared per measurement dimension.

Findings are classified across five readiness levels: Compliant, Substantially Ready, Partially Ready, Gaps Identified, and Not Assessed. Each finding is mapped to the applicable regulatory obligation(s) and linked to the corresponding evidence entry in the Governance Ledger.

MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY STATEMENT

This assessment is a measurement under the discipline of ISO/IEC 17025. The following uncertainty parameters apply to the readiness classifications in this report:

Measurement Dimension	Coverage	Confidence Interval	Uncertainty Source
Regulatory obligation mapping	29 frameworks	95%	Framework version currency
Control implementation status	Per obligation	90%	Self-reported evidence depth
Gap severity classification	5-level scale	85%	Assessor judgement calibration

DUAL SIGNATURE

AI SYSTEM ASSESSMENT

Automated classification engine
 Classification timestamp: 2026-04-**REDACTED**
 Engine version: NJ4AI-ASM-v3.2
 Hash: **REDACTED**

SENIOR OPERATOR COUNTERSIGNATURE

Named operator (MC 14283)
 Name: **Brahim Aabbou**
 Role: **Founder & Manager, NJ4AI Ltd**
 Signature: _____
 Date: **REDACTED** 2026
 Countersigned within 72 hours of AI classification

AI Use Policy

Excerpt: Sections 1, 3, and 7 · Reviewer Binding Record Full policy includes 6 sections + ISO 42001 annex mapping

DOCUMENT CONTROL

Document Reference	NJ4AI-POL-AUP- REDACTED
Version	2.1
Effective Date	REDACTED March 2026
Next Review Date	REDACTED September 2026
Classification	Internal — Client Governance Team + Auditor Access
Organisation	REDACTED
Named Reviewer	Brahim Aabbou — Founder & Manager, MC 14283
ISO 42001 Mapping	Annex A, Controls A.2, A.3, A.4, A.6, A.8

1. PURPOSE AND SCOPE

- 1.1 This policy establishes the governance requirements for the deployment, operation, and oversight of artificial intelligence systems within the organisation's operational environment. It applies to all AI systems as defined in the applicable GCC regulatory frameworks, including but not limited to autonomous decision systems, machine learning models, and AI-assisted workflows.
- 1.2 The scope of this policy covers:
- Classification of AI systems by risk tier (Critical, High, Medium, Low);
 - Mandatory governance controls per risk tier;
 - Roles and responsibilities for AI system owners, operators, and oversight bodies;
 - Evidence requirements for regulatory compliance demonstration;
 - Escalation and incident response procedures for AI-related events.
- 1.3 This policy is issued under MC 14283 and aligns with ISO/IEC 42001:2023, with specific mapping to Annex A controls A.2 (AI Policy), A.3 (Roles), A.4 (Resources), A.6 (Planning), and A.8 (Operations).

3. ACCOUNTABILITY AND REVIEWER BINDING

- 3.1 Every policy artefact issued under this governance framework shall be assigned a Named Reviewer. The Named Reviewer is a licensed operator under MC 14283 who is personally accountable for the accuracy, currency, and regulatory alignment of the artefact at the time of review.
- 3.2 The Named Reviewer shall:
- Verify alignment with applicable GCC regulatory obligations;
 - Confirm evidence completeness per the obligation-to-control-to-evidence chain;
 - Countersign the artefact with date, name, licence reference, and next-review date;
 - Respond to audit queries regarding the artefact within the contracted SLA (30 min).
- 3.3 Reviewer binding is recorded in the Governance Ledger as a content-hashed, append-only entry. Modification of a reviewer-bound artefact after signing generates a new ledger entry and requires re-countersignature.

7. REVIEW AND AMENDMENT

- 7.1 This policy shall be reviewed at intervals not exceeding six (6) months, or upon:
- Material change to the regulatory environment in any covered GCC jurisdiction;
 - Deployment of a new AI system classified as High or Critical risk;
 - An AI-related incident triggering the Incident Response Plan.
- 7.2 Amendment history is maintained in the Governance Ledger. Each amendment is content-hashed and linked to the prior version, forming an immutable revision chain accessible to external auditors via read-only role.

REVIEWER BINDING RECORD

NAMED REVIEWER

Brahim Aabbou
Founder & Manager, NJ4AI Ltd
Licence: MC 14283 (Masdar City)
Signature: _____
Review completed: March 2026 **REDACTED**

LEDGER ENTRY

Entry type: Policy — Reviewer Binding
Content hash: **REDACTED**
Ledger status: Append-only, immutable
Auditor access: Read-only role (active)
Next scheduled review: September 2026 **REDACTED**

Governance Ledger

Immutable Evidence Trail · Audit-Ready View

Total Entries	Assessments	Policies	Evidence	MCP Actions	Auditor Role
47	12	6	23	6	Active

Entry #	Timestamp	Type	Description	Hash (SHA-256)	Status	Signed
047	2026-04-18 09:41:22Z	Assessment	AI System Risk Assessment — NJ4AI-ASM-...	a3f8c1...9d4e72	Verified	Yes
046	2026-04-18 09:38:05Z	MCP Action	Write-action: Update risk classification — ap...	7b2e19...c4a831	Verified	Yes
045	2026-04-15 14:22:10Z	Policy	AI Use Policy v2.1 — Reviewer binding: B. ...	e91d4a...f2b067	Verified	Yes
044	2026-04-15 14:18:33Z	Policy	DPIA v1.3 — Reviewer binding: B. Aabbou (...)	5c8fa2...a1e934	Verified	Yes
043	2026-04-12 11:05:44Z	Evidence	Regulatory mapping — UAE Federal AI Reg...	d4b7c3...82f156	Verified	N/A
042	2026-04-12 10:58:17Z	Evidence	Control implementation evidence — Access ...	1f9e82...b3d471	Verified	N/A
041	2026-04-10 16:30:02Z	Assessment	Gap analysis — P.R.O.O.F. Feasibility dime...	8a3c67...e5f219	Verified	Yes
040	2026-04-10 15:12:41Z	MCP Action	Write-action: Create evidence register — ap...	b2d4e8...71c3a9	Verified	Yes
039	2026-04-08 09:15:28Z	Evidence	AI system inventory — 3 systems classified ...	c7f1a9...4d8e52	Verified	N/A
038	2026-04-05 13:44:19Z	Assessment	Initial readiness assessment — Sprint Week...	6e2b84...a9f137	Verified	Yes

LEDGER PROPERTIES

Record Type	Append-only — entries cannot be modified or deleted after creation
Integrity	Each entry is SHA-256 content-hashed at time of creation
Chain Linkage	Each hash includes the previous entry's hash, forming an immutable chain
Auditor Access	External auditors receive a read-only role from day one — no request required · ACTIVE
Quarterly Report	ISO/IEC 17025-format summary exported and countersigned by senior operator
Tamper Detection	Any modification to a prior entry breaks the hash chain and triggers an alert

HUB vs LEDGER — THE DIFFERENCE THAT MATTERS IN AN AUDIT

A governance hub is a view — it shows what you have. A governance ledger is a record — it proves what happened. When an auditor asks for the evidence chain behind a decision made six months ago, the ledger answers. The hub cannot.